

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2002

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/628,804	07/28/2000	Norbert Venet	Q60260	3500
75	90 12/13/2002			
Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC Suite 800 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER	
			TUGBANG, ANTHONY D	
w asimigton, DC	20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

et.		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Action Summary	09/628,804	VENET ET AL.
	,	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	Dexter Tugbang	3729
Period fo	or Reply		
I HE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication repriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lon.  a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 August 2002	
2a)□		This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for a		Hann
•—	closed in accordance with the practice ur on of Claims	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 and 8-11 is/are pending in the	ne application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,8 and 11 is/are rejected.		
7)🖂	Claim(s) 2,3,9 and 10 is/are objected to.		
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
	on Papers	,	
9)🖾 🖰	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10)[]	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b)  objected to by the	ne Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🔲 🏾	he proposed drawing correction filed on _		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required		
12)□ 1	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, , , , ,
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
;	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		oplication No.
:	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	
	application from the Internationa ee the attached detailed Office action for a	I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not r	received.
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom		
a) 15)∐ A	The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has be nestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	en received. §§ 120 and/or 121.
ttachment(	s)		
) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Tra O-326 (Rev	04.04)	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 17

Application/Control Number: 09/628,804

Art Unit: 3729

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. The applicants' amendment filed 8/30/02 (Paper No. 16) has been fully considered and made of record.

### Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: in Claim 2, the specification fails to provide antecedent basis for the stacked assembly having one or more supplementary modular printed circuit film elements which carry components and which have conductive tracks which terminate at a level corresponding to the common alignment level of the modular printed circuit film elements of the stacked assembly that carry the sets of turns of the one or more conductive tracks in order to perform the *molding, cutting and connection creation steps simultaneously* on the stacked assembly (emphasis added).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/628,804

Art Unit: 3729

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Noguchi et al 5,959,846.

Regarding Claim 1, Noguchi discloses a method of obtaining a module comprising: forming a stacked assembly (shown in Fig. 11) by stacking the plurality of aligned modular printed circuit film elements (substrates 6a, 6b) carrying a set of turns (radial connections 5b) of conductive tracks 4 which form part of the inductive winding and the conductive tracks 4 terminate near an edge of the modular printed circuit film (example shown in Fig. 1); molding an insulative material (resin 30) over the stacked assembly of modular printed circuit film elements to constitute a rigid block (see col. 7, lines 45-49); cutting the rigid block laterally (at cut lines 7 and 8) to expose an end for each of the conductive tracks 4 and so that the exposed ends are flush with a surface of one face of the block (see Fig. 12b); and creating connections on the one face of the block with which the exposed ends are flush to selectively interconnect the one conductive tracks and to connect the tracks to connection means external to the module (see examples of external connection means at col. 2, lines 25-29).

Regarding Claim 8, Noguchi teaches all of the limitations as relied upon above in Claim 1 and further including that the claimed "first support" and "second support" is read as substrates 6a, 6b.

Application/Control Number: 09/628,804

Art Unit: 3729

Regarding Claim 11, Noguchi further teaches the first and second conductive tracks 4 are formed before the stacking step (see col. 6, line 36 to col. 7, line 10).

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/30/02 (Paper No. 16) have been fully considered and found to be persuasive with respect to the merits of Kanoh et al, Grandmont et al, and Adams et al.

Accordingly, the 103 rejection in the previous Office Action drawn to Kanoh et al, Grandmont et al and Adams et al has been withdrawn.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2, 3, 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 703-308-7599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday 9:00 am 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 703-308-1789. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 3729

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular communications and 703-305-3588 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Dexter Tugbang

Examiner

Art Unit 3729

adt

November 14, 2002